<u>REMARKS</u>

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's thorough consideration provided the present

application. Claims 1-5, 7-11 and 13-15 are present in the application. Claims 1, 7, 11 and 13

have been amended. Claims 6 and 12 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 2 and 11 are independent.

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claims 2-4 and 10 are allowed. The Examiner has also

indicated that dependent claims 6-9 and 12-15 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of

the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant appreciates the

indication of allowable subject matter by the Examiner.

By the present amendment, independent claims 1 and 11 have been amended to include

the subject matter of allowable dependent claims 6 and 12, respectively, as described

hereinbelow...

Drawings

The Examiner did not indicate whether or not the formal drawings have been accepted.

Since no objection has been received, Applicants assume that the drawings are acceptable and

that no further action is necessary. Confirmation thereof in the next Office Action is respectfully

requested.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

Application No. 09/780,380 Amendment dated January 3, 2006

After Final Office Action of September 9, 2005

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1, 5 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

Docket No.: 0630-1238P

Page 7 of 8

Applicant's disclosed conventional art in view of Endres et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,426,972. This

rejection is respectfully traversed.

A complete discussion of the Examiner's rejection is set forth in the Office Action, and is

not being repeated here.

As mentioned, independent claims 1 and 11 have been amended to include the subject

matter of dependent claims 6 and 12, which were indicated by the Examiner as including

allowable subject matter. Accordingly, it is believed that amended independent claims 1 and 11

and dependent claim 5 (due to its dependency from claim 1) are in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 are

respectfully requested.

Additional Cited References

Since the remaining patents cited by the Examiner have not been utilized to reject the

claims, but rather to merely show the state of the art, no further comments are necessary with

respect thereto.

CONCLUSION

All the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed and/or rendered moot.

Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all presently pending

rejections and that they be withdrawn.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

Application No. 09/780,380 Amendment dated January 3, 2006

After Final Office Action of September 9, 2005

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the Office Action, and

that as such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to send the application to Issue.

In the event there are any matters remaining in this application, the Examiner is invited to

contact the undersigned at (703) 205-8000 in the Washington, D.C. area.

Applicant respectfully petition under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) and § 1.17 for a

one-month extension of time in which to respond to the Examiner's Office Action. The Extension of

Time Fee in the amount of \$ 120.00 is attached hereto.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: January 3, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No.: 40,953

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 0630-1238P

Page 8 of 8

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP